

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,340	03/23/2001	Bert Vogelstein	01107.00074	4414
22907	7590 07/30/2002			
BANNER & WITCOFF			EXAMINER	
1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100			CANELLA, KAREN A	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	CA
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2002	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/815,340

Applicant(s)

Vogelstein et al

Examiner

Karen Canella

Art Unit 1642



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	for Reply	TO EVENE O		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE <u>3 months</u> MONTH(S) FROM		
- Extens	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th			
	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th			
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nis communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status	,			
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action	on is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arrived Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispos	ition of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 💢	Claim(s) 10-18 and 23	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-9 and 19-22	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	ation Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in this National Stage		
*S	application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
a)[7			
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachn	nent(s)			
1) N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
2) N	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) Int	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/815,340 Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

Response to Amendment

- 1. Claims 5 and 11-15 have been amended. Claims 19-23 have been added. Claims 1-23 are pending and under consideration.
- 2. The rejection of claims 11-15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention is withdrawn in light of applicants arguments..
- 3. The rejection of claims 10, 16 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morales (Oncogene, 2000, Vol. 19, pp. 403-409) and Zur et al (EMBO, 2001 Feb 15, Vol. 20, pp. 792-801) in view of Lengauer et al (Nature, 1998, Vol. 396, pp. 643-649) is withdrawn in light of applicant's arguments.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-10 and 16-18 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morales et al and Zur et al and Lengauer et al as applied to claims 1-10, 16 and 18 above, and further in view of Fiebig et al (Human Tumor Xenographs in Anticancer Drug Development, 1988) is withdrawn in light of applicant arguments.
- 5. The rejection of claims 1-9 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morales (Oncogene, 2000, Vol. 19, pp. 403-409) and Zur et al (EMBO, 2001 Feb 15, Vol. 20, pp. 792-801) in view of Lengauer et al (Nature, 1998, Vol. 396, pp. 643-649) is maintained for reasons of record. The rejection of newly added claims 19-22 is made for the same reasons of record.

Application/Control Number: 09/815,340

Art Unit: 1642

6. Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine Morales and Zur with Lengauer to arrive at the instant invention as Morles and Zur teach that overexpression of securin contributes to the tumorigenic phenotype and the instant invention is drawn to a homozygous securin defective cell line. This has been considered but not found persuasive. One of skill in the art would have been motivated to make a the isogeneic cell lines of claim 1-9 due to the teaching of Morales and Zur on the overexpression of securin and the tumorigenic phenotype.

One of skill in the art would have been motivated to do so in order to a control cell devoid of any basal securin expression for comparison to a wild type heterozygous cell line.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

Application/Control Number: 09/815,340 Page 4

Art Unit: 1642

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Karen Canella whose telephone number is (703) 308-8362. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm. A message may

be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached on (703) 308-3995.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Karen A. Canella, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner, Group 1642

July 29, 2002

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600